for bond distances and angles. E.s.d.'s involving bonds to Cu are less than 0.004 Å and  $0.2^{\circ}$ .\*

**Discussion.** The coordination geometry about Cu is of the 4 + 2 type. The major coordination plane is composed of *trans* chelated-ligand donor atoms, and the 'bite' distance across donor atoms is 2.838 (5) Å. The Cu-O(2) 'semi-coordinated' bond vector of 2.747 Å makes an angle of  $86.4^{\circ}$  to the major coordination plane. The next shortest contact between Cu and any ClO<sub>4</sub> O atom is 3.77 Å.

Atoms in the pyridine ring have an average deviation from the best-fit plane through them of 0.009 (6) Å. The plane of the ring is inclined  $53.4^{\circ}$  to the major coordination plane. The Cu-coordinated O(1) atom is displaced by 0.04 Å from the best-fit ring plane, and the O(1)-N(1)-C(1)-C(6) torsion angle is 0.3 (6)°.

Two  $ClO_4^-$  O atoms are hydrogen-bonded to the amine H atom H(N2). An intramolecular hydrogen

bond to O(4) is present with a N(2)–O(4) distance of 3.087 Å and N(2)–H(N2)–O(4) angle of  $146.7^{\circ}$ , and a weaker intermolecular hydrogen bond to O(5) is also present with a N(2)–O(5) distance of 3.343 Å and N(2)–H(N2)–O(5) angle of  $138.4^{\circ}$ . It is noteworthy that the remaining  $ClO_4^-$  O atom O(3), which has no contacts to H(N2) under 3.0 Å, has the shortest O–Cl bond distance and largest temperature factor of any atom in the anion.

#### References

- CROMER, D. T. & LIBERMAN, D. (1970). J. Chem. Phys. 53, 1891–1898.
- CROMER, D. T. & WABER, J. T. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 104–109.
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794, revised. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee.
- STEWART, R. F., DAVIDSON, E. R. & SIMPSON, W. T. (1965). J. Chem. Phys. 42, 3175–3187.
- WEST, D. X. & HARTLEY, R. J. (1980). J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 42, 1141–1144.
- WEST, D. X. & KOHRMAN, R. E. (1979). J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 41, 477–480.
- WEST, D. X., PAVKOVIC, S. F. & BROWN, J. N. (1980). Acta Cryst. B36, 143–145.

Acta Cryst. (1982). B38, 276-279

## The Structure of $\mu$ -Aqua-bis( $\mu$ -trifluoroacetato-O,O')-bis[(N,N,N',N'-tetramethylethylenediamine)(trifluoroacetato)nickel(II)]

### By Markku Ahlgrén and Urho Turpeinen

Department of Inorganic Chemistry, University of Helsinki, SF-00100 Helsinki 10, Finland

(Received 3 June 1981; accepted 15 July 1981)

Abstract.  $[Ni_2(C_2F_3O_2)_4(C_6H_{16}N_2)_2H_2O]$ .  $C_{20}H_{34}F_{12}$ -N<sub>4</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub>,  $M_r = 819\cdot9$ , monoclinic,  $P2_1/n$ ,  $a = 17\cdot183$ (5),  $b = 13\cdot879$  (5),  $c = 15\cdot509$  (5) Å,  $\beta = 114\cdot39$  (2)°, Z = 4,  $D_c = 1\cdot617$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>,  $\mu$ (Mo K $\alpha$ ) = 1·24 mm<sup>-1</sup>. Final R = 0.071 for 2012 reflections. The molecule is binuclear, with a Ni-Ni distance of 3.676 (3) Å. The central Ni atoms are octahedrally coordinated and bridged by a H<sub>2</sub>O molecule and two carboxylate groups in *syn-syn* configuration. The noncoordinated O atoms of the unidentate carboxylate groups form intramolecular hydrogen bonds with the bridging H<sub>2</sub>O molecule.

0567-7408/82/010276-04\$01.00

**Introduction.** The green crystals were obtained by slow evaporation of ethanol solution containing nickel(II) trifluoroacetate and N, N, N', N'-tetramethylethylenediamine. A single crystal of dimensions  $0.25 \times 0.30 \times$ 0.35 mm was selected for the intensity measurements. Cell dimensions were determined by a least-squares treatment of 23 well-centered reflections on a Syntex  $P2_1$  diffractometer. Intensities were collected ( $5 < 2\theta <$  $50^{\circ}$ ) by the  $\omega$ -scan method with a variable scan rate from 2.55 to  $29.3^{\circ}$  min<sup>-1</sup> and graphite-monochromatized Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71069$  Å). Standards monitored about every 98 reflections showed © 1982 International Union of Crystallography

<sup>\*</sup> Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters and H-atom coordinates have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 36300 (11 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

no significant systematic variation with time. Of the 5902 reflections collected, only 2012 had  $F_o > 5\sigma(F_o)$  and these were used in subsequent calculations.

The structure was solved by Patterson and Fourier methods with programs of the XRAY 76 system (Stewart, 1976). For the non-hydrogen atoms scattering factors were from Cromer & Mann (1968) and for H atoms from Stewart, Davidson & Simpson (1965). The H atoms were geometrically positioned (C-H = 1.00, O-H = 0.95 Å and B = 5.5 Å<sup>2</sup>) and were not refined. A full-matrix refinement based on 2012

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates  $(\times 10^4)$  and thermal parameters with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

$$B_{\rm eq} = \frac{8}{3}\pi^2 \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$$

	x	у	Ζ	$B_{eq}(A^2)$
Ni(1)	2255 (1)	3538(1)	-464 (1)	3.1(1)
Ni(2)	2632 (1)	6012 (1)	464 (I)	2.8(1)
oùí	2813 (6)	3509 (7)	-1445(6)	4.0(5)
$\hat{O}(2)$	3797 (7)	4703 (8)	-952(7)	6.0 (6)
O(3)	1426 (6)	4533 (8)	-1375(6)	3.7(5)
O(4)	1900 (6)	6040 (7)	-963(6)	3.8 (5)
O(5)	1718 (6)	3690 (7)	503 (6)	3.7(5)
0(6)	1707 (6)	5290 (7)	745 (6)	3.9 (6)
O(7)	3405 (6)	5903 (7)	1921 (6)	3.8(5)
O(8)	3977 (6)	4422 (8)	2108(7)	5.4(6)
O(0)	3152 (5)	4654 (6)	286 (6)	3.3 (5)
N(1)	1313 (8)	2455 (9)	-1202(8)	4.0(7)
N(2)	3069 (8)	2335(9)	289 (8)	4.0(7)
N(3)	2060 (7)	7312 (9)	650 (8)	3.9(7)
N(4)	3608 (7)	6934 (8)	343 (8)	3.5 (6)
F(1)	2599 (7)	4399 (10)	-3166(6)	10.3 (8)
F(2)	3496 (8)	3296 (8)	-2758(7)	9.0 (8)
F(3)	3935 (8)	4693 (9)	-2565(7)	9.8 (8)
F(4)	1297 (7)	6036 (10)	-2964(6)	10.0 (8)
F(5)	299 (9)	5095 (9)	-3015(8)	14.0(9)
F(6)	396 (6)	6480 (9)	-2487(7)	8.4 (7)
F(7)	324 (6)	4782 (9)	1089 (8)	9.2(8)
F(8)	825 (7)	3395 (8)	1472 (8)	8.4(8)
F(9)	1481 (7)	4549 (9)	2297 (7)	9.0 (8)
F(10)	3677 (10)	5107 (13)	3803 (8)	15.3 (12)
F(11)	4343 (11)	6195 (8)	3741 (7)	14.7 (10)
F(12)	4826 (9)	4876 (13)	3923 (7)	16-3(11)
$\dot{C(1)}$	1652 (10)	1568 (13)	-670(11)	4.8 (4)
C(2)	2606 (10)	1503 (12)	-323(11)	5.0 (4)
C(3)	1236 (10)	2334 (12)	-2206 (12)	5.2 (4)
C(4)	440 (11)	2684 (13)	-1264(12)	6.0 (4)
C(5)	3960 (11)	2381 (13)	395 (12)	6.0 (4)
C(6)	3095 (10)	2178 (12)	1268 (12)	5.2 (4)
C(7)	3307 (10)	4138 (12)	-1509 (10)	3.2 (3)
C(8)	3313 (11)	4134 (14)	-2523 (12)	4.5 (4)
C(9)	1452 (9)	5400 (13)	-1502 (10)	3.0(3)
C(10)	823 (13)	5748 (15)	-2526 (13)	5.6 (4)
C(11)	2538 (10)	8089 (11)	442 (11)	4.8 (4)
C(12)	3485 (10)	7856 (12)	764 (11)	5.1 (4)
C(13)	2134 (9)	7418 (11)	1646 (10)	4.0(3)
C(14)	1121 (10)	7409 (12)	4 (11)	4.7 (4)
C(15)	4476 (10)	6603 (12)	899 (11)	5.1(4)
C(16)	3499 (10)	7083 (12)	-630 (12)	5.3 (4)
C(17)	3818 (9)	5182 (13)	2373 (10)	3.2 (3)
C(18)	4196 (13)	5381 (15)	3459 (13)	5.3 (4)
C(19)	1544 (9)	4444 (13)	838 (10)	3.1 (3)
C(20)	1032 (12)	4311 (14)	1420 (14)	5.2 (4)

 Table 2. Interatomic distances (Å) with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

Ni(1) - N(1)	2.158 (12)	Ni(2) - N(3)	2.131 (13)
Ni(1) - N(2)	$2 \cdot 182(12)$	Ni(2) - N(4)	2.178 (13)
Ni(1) - O(1)	$2 \cdot 107(12)$	Ni(2) - O(7)	2.100 (8)
Ni(1) - O(3)	2.066 (9)	Ni(2)-O(6)	2.072 (12)
Ni(1)-O(5)	2.072 (12)	Ni(2) - O(4)	2.046 (8)
Ni(1)-O(9)	2.156 (8)	Ni(2) - O(9)	2.153(9)
N(1) - C(3)	1.52 (2)	N(3) - C(13)	1.50 (2)
N(1) - C(4)	1.50(2)	N(3) - C(14)	1.51(2)
N(1) - C(1)	1.46 (2)	N(3) - C(11)	1.47 (2)
C(1) - C(2)	1.50 (2)	C(11) - C(12)	1.53 (2)
C(2) - N(2)	1.50(2)	C(12) - N(4)	1.49 (2)
N(2) - C(5)	1.47 (2)	N(4) - C(15)	1.45(2)
N(2) - C(6)	1.52 (2)	N(4) - C(16)	1.46 (2)
D(1) - C(7)	1.25 (2)	O(7) - C(17)	1.26 (2)
O(2) - C(7)	1.21 (2)	O(8) - C(17)	$1 \cdot 20(2)$
C(7) - C(8)	1.58 (3)	C(17) - C(18)	1.56 (2)
C(8) - F(1)	1.27 (2)	C(18) - F(10)	1.27 (3)
C(8)-F(2)	1.30 (2)	C(18)-F(11)	1.20 (2)
C(8) - F(3)	1.34 (2)	C(18)-F(12)	1·24 (2)
D(3)-C(9)	1.22 (2)	O(6)-C(19)	1.23 (2)
D(4)-C(9)	1.24 (2)	O(5)–C(19)	1.26 (2)
C(9)-C(10)	1.58 (2)	C(19)-C(20)	1.51 (3)
C(10)-F(4)	1.32 (3)	C(20) - F(8)	1.33 (2)
C(10)-F(5)	1.28 (2)	C(20)-F(9)	1.30 (2)
C(10)-F(6)	1.27 (2)	C(20) - F(7)	1.29 (2)
O(2)···O(9)	2.584 (17)	$Ni(1) \cdots Ni(2)$	3.676 (3)
O(8)···O(9)	2.606 (12)		

independent reflections and 325 variables with anisotropic thermal parameters for Ni, F, O and N atoms and isotropic thermal parameters for C and H atoms and minimizing the function  $\sum w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2$  gave  $R = \sum ||F_o| - |F_c||/\sum |F_o| = 0.071$ . Anomalousdispersion corrections for Ni were taken from *International Tables for X-ray Crystallography* (1974). The weights were given by  $w = 1/\sigma^2(F_o)$ . The average shift/error ratio in the last cycle was 0.03. A difference Fourier map showed no electron density greater than  $0.58 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ .

Final positional and isotropic thermal parameters are given in Table 1, interatomic distances and angles in Tables 2 and 3, respectively.\*

**Discussion.** The structure consists of discrete binuclear molecules possessing pseudo  $C_2$  symmetry (Figs. 1 and 2). The shortest intermolecular contacts (>3.06 Å) are between fluorine atoms of the trifluoroacetate groups and they are comparable to those found in trifluoroacetic acid (Nahringbauer, Lundgren & Andersen, 1979).

The central Ni atoms are bridged by a H<sub>2</sub>O and two carboxylate groups, with average bond lengths of

<sup>\*</sup> Lists of structure factors and anisotropic thermal parameters have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 36307 (11 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

# Table 3. Interatomic angles (°) with e.s.d.'s inparentheses

N(1)-N(1)-N(2)	85.8 (4)	N(3) - Ni(2) - N(4)	85.9 (5)
N(1) - Ni(1) - O(1)	94.0 (5)	N(3) - Ni(2) - O(7)	92.2 (4)
N(1) - Ni(1) - O(3)	87.1 (4)	N(3) - Ni(2) - O(6)	86-8 (5)
N(1) - Ni(1) - O(5)	89.7 (5)	N(3) - Ni(2) - O(4)	91.0 (4)
N(1) - Ni(1) - O(9)	177.4 (5)	N(3) - Ni(2) - O(9)	176.7 (5)
N(2) - Ni(1) - O(1)	89.4 (5)	N(4) - Ni(2) - O(7)	88.2 (4)
N(2) - Ni(1) - O(3)	170.4(4)	N(4) - Ni(2) - O(6)	170.8 (4)
N(2) - Ni(1) - O(5)	94.0(5)	N(4) - Ni(2) - O(4)	92.7(4)
N(2) - Ni(1) - O(9)	95.9 (4)	N(4) - Ni(2) - O(9)	97.4 (4)
O(1) = Ni(1) = O(3)	84.9 (4)	O(7) - Ni(2) - O(6)	86.4 (4)
O(1) - Ni(1) - O(5)	175.2(4)	O(7) - Ni(2) - O(4)	176.7(4)
O(1) = Ni(1) = O(9)	88.1 (4)	O(7) - Ni(2) - O(9)	87.9 (3)
O(3) - Ni(1) - O(5)	92.2 (4)	O(6) - Ni(2) - O(4)	93.1 (4)
O(3) - Ni(1) - O(9)	91.5 (3)	O(6) - Ni(2) - O(9)	89.9 (4)
O(5) = Ni(1) = O(9)	88.2 (4)	O(4) - Ni(2) - O(9)	88.9 (4)
$N_{i}(1) = N(1) = C(1)$	104.5 (8)	Ni(2) - N(3) - C(11)	105.1 (10)
$N_{i}(1) = N(1) = C(3)$	1045(0)	$N_{i}(2) - N(3) - C(13)$	111.9 (8)
$N_{i}(1) = N(1) = C(4)$	113.5(10)	Ni(2) - N(3) - C(14)	114.3(9)
C(1) = N(1) = C(3)	109.3(13)	C(11) = N(3) = C(13)	109.6 (11)
C(1) = N(1) = C(3)	107.5(13) 111.7(14)	C(11) = N(3) = C(14)	109.2(11)
C(3) = N(1) - C(4)	107.3(11)	C(13) - N(3) - C(14)	106.7(13)
C(3) = R(1) = C(4)	112.0 (15)	N(3) = C(11) = C(12)	113.0(12)
R(1) = C(1) = C(2) C(1) = C(2) = R(2)	112.0(13)	C(11) - C(12) - N(4)	113.0(12)
C(1) = C(2) = R(2)	113.4(14) 111.2(12)	C(12) = N(4) = C(15)	107.9 (10)
C(2) = N(2) = C(3)	108.1(12)	C(12) = N(4) = C(15) C(12) = N(4) = C(16)	107.9(10)
C(2) = N(2) = C(0)	$103 \cdot 1(12)$ $107 \cdot 2(11)$	C(12) = N(4) = C(10)	108.7(14)
N(1) = N(2) = C(0)	107.2(11)	N(2) = N(4) = C(10)	103.7(14) 102.3(10)
N(1) = N(2) = C(2) N(1) = N(2) = C(5)	101.2(7)	$N_{1}(2) = N(4) = C(12)$ $N_{2}(2) = N(4) = C(15)$	112.6 (10)
N(1) - N(2) - C(3) N(1) - N(2) - C(6)	113.7(10)	N(2) = N(4) = C(15) N(2) = N(4) = C(16)	113.5 (8)
N(1) - N(2) - C(0) N(1) - O(1) - C(7)	124 8 (10)	$N_{1}(2) = N(4) = C(10)$	128.1 (0)
O(1) = O(1) = O(1)	124.6(10)	O(7) = O(1) = O(1)	120 1 (9) 131.4 (14)
O(1) - C(7) - O(2)	133.0(10)	O(7) = C(17) = O(8)	10.4 (14)
O(1) = C(7) = C(8)	$111 \cdot 1(12)$ $115 \cdot 2(16)$	O(1) = C(17) = C(18)	110.4 (13)
O(2) - O(7) - O(8)	$113 \cdot 2(10)$	C(17) = C(18) = E(10)	110.4 (14)
C(7) = C(8) = F(1)	112.3(17)	C(17) = C(18) = F(10)	110.4 (13)
C(7) - C(8) - F(2)	112.7(13)	C(17) = C(18) = F(11)	119.3(17)
C(7) = C(8) = F(3)	$112 \cdot 2(13)$	C(17) = C(18) = F(12)	101.0 (21)
F(1) = C(8) = F(2)	107.8 (14)	F(10) = C(18) = F(11)	100.5 (18)
F(1) - C(8) - F(3)	108.9 (15)	F(10) = C(18) = F(12)	100.5(18)
F(2) = C(8) = F(3)	102.4 (17)	F(11) = C(18) = F(12)	108.0 (10)
NI(1) = O(3) = O(9)	135.0 (8)	N(2) = O(6) = C(19)	133.9(11)
NI(2) = O(4) = C(9)	130.3(10)	N(1) = O(3) = C(19)	129.0 (11)
O(3) - C(9) - O(4)	130.4 (12)	O(6) - C(19) - O(3)	129.9(17)
O(3) - C(9) - C(10)	113.9 (13)	O(6) - C(19) - C(20)	113.9(10)
O(4) - C(9) - C(10)	115.0(15)	O(3) = C(19) = C(20)	110.2(10)
C(9) = C(10) = F(4)	107.4 (15)	C(19) - C(20) - F(8)	112.9 (18)
C(9) = C(10) = F(5)	113.0 (16)	C(19) - C(20) - F(9)	110.8(15)
C(9) = C(10) = F(6)	111.4 (16)	C(19) = C(20) = F(7)	112.8(16)
F(4) - C(10) - F(5)	109.9 (18)	F(8) = C(20) = F(9)	103+7 (15)
F(4) - C(10) - F(6)	105.8 (17)	F(8) - C(20) - F(7)	106-1 (16)
F(5) = C(10) = F(6)	108-4 (17)	F(9) - C(20) - F(7)	110.0(18)
Ni(1) - O(9) - Ni(2)	117.2 (4)		

Ni–O(water) 2.154 (9) Å and Ni–O( $\mu$ -carboxylate) 2.064 (10) Å. The octahedral environment around each Ni atom is completed by the N atoms of the diamine molecule and the O atom of the unidentate carboxylate group. The Ni–N distances range from 2.131 (13) to 2.182 (13) Å, the shorter distances being *trans* to the bridging H<sub>2</sub>O molecule. This is consistent with the values reported earlier for other similar dimeric nickel(II) carboxylates with N, N, N', N'-tetramethyl-ethylenediamine (Ahlgrén, Turpeinen & Hämäläinen, 1978) where the Ni–N distances *trans* to H<sub>2</sub>O are on average about  $3\sigma$  shorter than the other two. The Ni–O(carboxylate) distances are about 0.04 Å longer than the Ni–O( $\mu$ -carboxylate) distances, in agreement with earlier observations, too.



Fig. 1. Perspective view of the molecule.



Fig. 2. Stereoview of the packing of molecules in the unit cell.

The dimensions of the N,N,N',N'-tetramethylethylenediamine ligands are as expected and the diamine chelate rings are in normal unsymmetric gauche configuration. The CCO<sub>2</sub> groups are planar within experimental error but the Ni atoms deviate 0.24-0.70 Å from these planes. The large anisotropy among the fluorine thermal parameters suggests a slight disorder for the  $-CF_3$  groups. This appears to be a relatively common phenomenon.

The Ni–Ni distance is 3.676(3)Å and slightly longer than the distances 3.497(1)-3.665(1)Å measured in corresponding dimeric nickel(II) carboxylate complexes (Ahlgrén, Turpeinen & Hämäläinen, 1978). The difference supports the generalization that the Ni–Ni distance is elongated as the acid strength increases. It should be noted, however, that steric factors also play a role in determining the Ni–Ni distance. The major structural changes in these dimeric compounds accompanying the elongation of the metal– metal distance are the shortening of the average Ni–N distance from  $2 \cdot 19$  Å in the propionate compound to  $2 \cdot 16$  Å in the present compound and the elongation of the Ni–O(water) distance from  $2 \cdot 07$  Å in the propionate compound to  $2 \cdot 16 (1)$  Å in the present compound. Other structural parameters show no discernible trends.

### References

- AHLGRÉN, M., TURPEINEN, U. & HÄMÄLÄINEN, R. (1978). Acta Chem. Scand. Ser. A, **32**, 189–194.
- CROMER, D. T. & MANN, J. B. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 321–324.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- NAHRINGBAUER, I., LUNDGREN, I.-O. & ANDERSEN, E. K. (1979). Acta Cryst. B35, 508-510.
- STEWART, J. M. (1976). The XRAY system. Tech. Rep. TR-446. Computer Science Center, Univ. of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA.
- STEWART, R. F., DAVIDSON, E. R. & SIMPSON, W. T. (1965). J. Chem. Phys. 42, 3175-3187.

Acta Cryst. (1982). B38, 279–281

## Furanne-2,5-dicarboxylate Acide de Potassium

PAR S. JAULMES

Laboratoire de Physique, Faculté des Sciences Pharmaceutiques et Biologiques de Paris V, 4 avenue de l'Observatoire, 75270 Paris CEDEX 06, France

G. CASSANAS

Laboratoire de Physique Industrielle Pharmaceutique, Faculté de Pharmacie, avenue Charles Flahault, 34060 Montpellier CEDEX, France

## ET P. LARUELLE

Laboratoire de Physique, Faculté des Sciences Pharmaceutiques et Biologiques de Paris V, 4 avenue de l'Observatoire, 75270 Paris CEDEX 06, France

(Reçu le 19 janvier 1981, accepté le 20 juillet 1981)

Abstract.  $C_6H_3O_5^-$ . K<sup>+</sup>, monoclinic, B2/b, a = 9.759(2), b = 6.6952 (9), c = 11.193 (2) Å,  $\gamma = 114.49$  (1)°, Z = 4,  $d_o = 1.95$  (1),  $d_c = 1.94$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>. The structure has been solved by the heavy-atom method and refined by least-squares procedures to a final R value of 0.0486 for 1057 reflexions. K<sup>+</sup> is surrounded by seven O atoms.  $C_6H_3O_5^-$  anions lying along twofold axes are linked into infinite chains by very short hydrogen bonds of length  $O \cdots H \cdots O = 2.459$  (2) Å. The two carboxylic groups are slightly twisted out of the furan ring plane.

**Introduction.** Cette étude porte sur le sel monopotassique de l'acide furanne-2,5-dicarboxylique.



La plupart des anions de type  $HOOC-R-COO^{-1}$ (dérivés des diacides HOOC-R-COOH) sont à l'état cristallin liés entre eux en chaînes infinies par des liaisons hydrogène intermoléculaires plus ou moins fortes, et plus ou moins symétriques.

Speakman (1972) distingue deux types de structures: les sels acides de type  $A_2$  dans lesquels les groupements carboxyliques et carboxylates sont 'équivalents' et où la liaison hydrogène est symétrique, et les sels acides de type  $B_2$  où la liaison n'est pas symétrique, les distances C-O étant différentes pour les groupements COOH et COO<sup>-</sup>.

L'étude des spectres infrarouge et Raman (Cassanas & Bardet, 1981) du sel acide cristallisé étudié montre que cette liaison hydrogène est forte et symétrique et que l'atome d'hydrogène de la liaison O···H···O est situé sur un élément de symétrie de la structure (axe binaire, centre de symétrie ou miroir plan). Les résultats

© 1982 International Union of Crystallography